

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/807,696 | 04/17/2001 | Tsuyoshi Okada | 50352-019 | 2515 | |
| 20277 75 | 590 07/07/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP | | | NGUYEN, TAM M | | |
| 600 13TH STR WASHINGTO | EET, N.W. N, DC 20005-3096 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| WINIE | .,, 20 2000 000 | | 1764 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/07/2006 | DATE MAILED: 07/07/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|-----------|--|--|--|
| | 09/807,696 | OKADA ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | - | | | |
| | Tam M. Nguyen | 1764 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence addre | 9SS | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed the mailing date of this comment ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A | <u>oril 2006</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | nce except for formal matters, pro | osecution as to the m | ierits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 20</u> is/are pending in the app | lication. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce | epted or b) objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | jected to. See 37 CFR | 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO- | -152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a |)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received in Applicat | ion No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | ed in this National Sta | age | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | ∌d. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) I) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | A) T Interview Comment | /PTO 442\ | | | | |
| 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ate | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) Notice of Informal F | atent Application (PTO-15 | 52) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Applicants are claiming a method for producing a gas turbine fuel oil having specific characteristics including a viscosity within a set range, metal concentrations within set ranges, and sulfur amounts within a set range. This fuel oil is also produced in yields within a claimed range. In processes such as presently claimed, the final product depends on a number of factors including the composition of the feed oil, conditions used in the distillation, separating, and hydrotreating steps, and the composition of the catalyst used in the hydrotreating steps.

Additionally, the predictability of catalytic processes is low. Since the claims do not include any specific conditions required to produce the product and the specification does not disclose a combination of feed types, conditions, and catalysts that can be used to produce the claimed product, one of ordinary skill would have to perform a level of experimentation that is undue in order perform the claimed invention to produce the claimed product.

Response to Arguments

The argument that the specification provides sufficient direction and guidance for a person having ordinary skill in the art to practice the invention and the office action has not established that undue experimentation would be required to practice the claimed invention is not persuasive. The examiner maintains that since the claims do not include any specific conditions required to produce the product and the specification does not disclose a combination of feed types, conditions, and catalysts that can be used to produce the claimed product, one of ordinary skill would have to perform a level of experimentation that is undue in order perform the claimed invention to produce the claimed product.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/807,696

Art Unit: 1764

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tam M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tam M. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 1764

Lerm

TN